UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/707,779	01/12/2004	Rachel Heitz	03292.101860	1778
	7590 02/25/2008 CELLA (AMEX)	[EXAMINER	
30 ROCKEFEL	LER PLAZA		KAZIMI, HANI M	
NEW YORK, N	NI 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3691	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		T		I		
Office Action Summers		Applicati	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
		10/707,7	79	HEITZ ET AL.		
Office Action Summary			r	Art Unit		
		Hani Kaz		3691		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicati or Reply	on appears on th	e cover sheet with the o	correspondence a	ddress	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IS IN THE MAILING IS IN THE MAILING IS IN THE MAY IN THE MAILING IS IN THE MAY IN THE MAILING IS IN THE MAY IN THE MAILING IS IN	NG DATE OF TI CFR 1.136(a). In no ex tition. y period will apply and w y statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION rent, however, may a reply be tir rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from blication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
'	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b)[Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice upon the communication of the closed in accordance with the practice upon the closed in	This action is rallowance except	non-final. for formal matters, pro		e merits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat i	Claim(s) 1,2,4-13 and 15-22 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2,4-13 and 15-22 is/are reject Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction on Papers The specification is objected to by the Ex The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[Applicant may not request that any objection	ted. and/or election reaminer. accepted or be to the drawing(s)	equirement. D□ objected to by the pe held in abeyance. Second	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
•						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D. 5) Notice of Informal F. 6) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

This communication is in response to Applicant's amendment filed on November
 2007. Claims 1-18 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-2, 4-13 and 15-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levine et al (US 5477038) in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art ("AAPA")(US 20050154670).

Claims 1, 17 and 21-22; Levine teaches a method and a corresponding system for facilitating distribution of a transaction account card through a distributor, the method comprising the steps of: issuing a transaction account card to the distributor (col. 2, lines 31-32); and receiving information from the distributor via local software, wherein said information reports the distribution of said transaction account card, wherein said card is one of a plurality of cards, and wherein said plurality of cards are consigned in groups to the distributor (col. 2, lines 31-57).

Page 3

Levine does not teach receiving information via MICR protocol or preexisting Traveler's Check infrastructure. However, AAPA discloses that the use of MICR protocol or preexisting Travelers Check infrastructure to receive information from a distributor regarding a sale of Travelers Check is old and well known (see Background of Invention (Para 003-0010). Since each individual element and its function are shown in the prior art, the difference between the claimed subject matter and the prior art rest not on any individual element or function but in the very combination itself- that is in the substitution of the local software for the MICR protocol or preexisting Traveler's Check infrastructure. Thus, the simple substitution of one known element for another for producing a predictable result renders the claim obvious.

Claim 2; Levine teaches the step of activating said distributed transaction account card (abstract).

Claim 4; Levine teaches wherein said transaction account card is a pre-paid card (abstract).

Claim 5; See claim 1 analysis above. Levine in view of AAPA further teaches the steps of: associating a first number with said transaction account card, wherein said first number is configured to conform to said MICR protocol, wherein said first number comprises, at least in part, a plurality of sequential numbers; and associating a second

Art Unit: 3691

number with said transaction account card, wherein said second number is a randomized account number (col. 2, lines 47-58).

Claim 6; See claim 1 analysis above. Levine in view of AAPA further teaches wherein a purchase agreement is associated with said card and bears indicia of said first number.

Claim 7; See claim 1 analysis above. Levine in view of AAPA further teaches wherein said indicia of said first number is embodied in a MICR line visible on said purchase agreement form.

Claim 8; See claim 1 analysis above. Levine in view of AAPA further teaches wherein said second number is an account number, wherein said distribution comprises a sale of said transaction account, and wherein the distributor is a third party seller.

Claim 9; See claim 1 analysis above. Levine in view of AAPA further teaches the step of processing said information via a pre-established travelers cheque infrastructure.

Claim 10; See claim 1 analysis above. Levine in view of AAPA further teaches the step of paying said third party seller a commission for said sale of said transaction account based on at least a portion of the information received from said third party seller (col. 6, lines 14-20).

Page 5

Claim 11; See claim 1 analysis above. Levine in view of AAPA further teaches a serial number and an account number, and wherein said activating step further comprises the step of confirming that activation is appropriate by confirming at least one of the following information received from said third party distributor: a purchase location, said serial number and said account number (col. 2, lines 47-58).

Claims 12 and 16; Levine teaches a transaction account distribution device for facilitating the distribution of a card by a distributor, said transaction account distribution device comprising a card, wherein said card is also associated with a first number that is configured for communication in a protocol;

Levine does not teach MICR protocol or Travelers check infrastructure; and a purchase agreement form configured to bear a MICR line configured to be machine read for conveying said first number to a computer system. However, AAPA discloses that the use of MICR protocol or preexisting Travelers Check infrastructure to receive information from a distributor regarding a sale of Travelers Check is old and well known. In addition AAPA discloses the use PAF in a Travelers check transaction (see Background of Invention). Since each individual element and its function are shown in the prior art, the difference between the claimed subject matter and the prior art rest not on any individual element or function but in the very combination itself- that is in the substitution of the local software for the MICR protocol or preexisting Traveler's Check infrastructure including the use of PAF. Thus, the simple substitution of one known element for another for producing a predictable result renders the claim obvious.

Page 6

Claims 13 and 18-19; See claim 1 analysis above. Levine teaches wherein said first

number is a serialized number (col. 2, lines 48-58).

Claim 12; Levine teaches wherein said card is a pre-paid card (abstract).

Claim 20; Levine teaches wherein the cards are pre-paid cards and wherein each of the

pre-paid cards is funded no earlier than the sale of the pre-paid card by the distributor

(abstract).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot 3.

in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant

is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened

statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the

mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the

mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of

the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will

expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hani Kazimi whose telephone number is (571) 272-6745. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-2 17-9197 (toll-free).

/Hani M. Kazimi/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3691

February 16, 2008